

**COMMUNITY SURVEY RESULTS
YUCCA MOUNTAIN PROJECT
MINERAL COUNTY, NEVADA**

July 2004

**Prepared For:
Mineral County
Board of County Commissioners**

**Prepared By:
Mineral County
Office of Nuclear Projects**

**Mineral County
Business and Student
Survey Results
Yucca Mountain Project
July 2004**

**Prepared For:
Mineral County
Board of County Commissioners**

**Prepared By:
Mineral County
Office of Nuclear Projects**

Contents

Section	Page
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Methodology	1
1.3 Summary of Results	2
2.0 SURVEY RESULTS	4
2.1 Business Survey Results	4
2.2 Student Survey Results	10
3.0 COMPARISON OF SURVEY RESULTS	15
Appendix A	18

Tables

Table No	Page
Table 2-1	4
Table 2-2	4
Table 2-3	5
Table 2-4	5
Table 2-5	6
Table 2-6	6
Table 2-7	7
Table 2-8	7
Table 2-9	8
Table 2-10	8
Table 2-11	9
Table 2-12	9
Table 2-13	10
Table 2-14	10
Table 2-15	11
Table 2-16	11
Table 2-17	12
Table 2-18	12
Table 2-19	13
Table 2-20	13
Table 2-21	14
Table 3-1	15
Table 3-2	15
Table 3-3	16
Table 3-4	17

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The characterization of Yucca Mountain as a potential site for the first high-level nuclear repository is strongly opposed by the State of Nevada. Surveys conducted by the State indicate that roughly 70 percent of Nevadans oppose the development of the repository at Yucca Mountain. The State survey results strongly reflect the opinions of Clark County, Nevada due to the population distribution in the State and the survey methodology. For example, in the 1991 State telephone survey only 19 of the 504 respondents were from affected units of local government (AULGs) other than Clark County. It is possible that none of the respondents in the 2002 State survey were from Mineral County.

The State survey was intended to represent the views of the State in general, but provides little or no insight about the opinions and beliefs held by Mineral County residents with respect to the repository program. To date, Mineral County has completed a public opinion survey that provides vastly different survey responses as compared to state survey efforts. As part of the impact assessment and oversight program, Mineral County Board of Commissioners decided to initiate a new public survey targeted at high school students and business owners in Mineral County. Survey questions were directly related to the Yucca Mountain project and associated transportation element of the repository. Survey questions can be found in Appendix A.

1.2 Methodology

Approximately 158 face to face surveys were conducted at the Mineral County High School in order to ascertain the student views and knowledge of the repository program. Additionally, 63 business owners in Mineral County, principally those in the Town of Hawthorne were interviewed. The survey questions duplicated many of those used by the State of Nevada in their efforts over the last several years. Using similar questions allows for comparability with other survey results concerning the Yucca Mountain project. Such comparisons can be found in Section 3.0 of this report.

A 100 percent survey was conducted of Mineral County High Schools students and business owners. Mineral County High School students were responsible for the administration of the survey instrument and compilation of data. Additionally, Mineral County High School students provided a final report presentation to the Mineral County Board of County Commissioners.

1.3 Summary of Findings

This section summarizes the views of Mineral County high school students and local business owners based upon 2004 survey results. A total of 63 local businesses and

158 students completed the survey. It is important to note that a relatively large percentage of high school students either did not provide responses to survey questions or did not have an opinion. This is not unexpected given the fact that almost 25 percent of them indicated they were not familiar with the Yucca Mountain site. As a result, it is important to discuss the findings from all survey responses as well as only those respondents from high school students who had opinions about survey questions.

Business Survey Results

Business owners in Mineral County appear evenly split on whether they would vote for or against the repository (Table 2-1). The vast majority of business owners did not believe that repository activities and or waste transportation activities would not have an adverse impact on their business operation. In fact, many business owners saw the repository as having a beneficial impact to business operations (See Tables 2-7 to 2-10).

Business owners also strongly supported negotiations for benefits and believe that the repository is inevitable. Finally, business owners are evenly split on whether waste can be transported by truck in an acceptably safe manner. About 60 percent of business owners believe high-level waste can be transported by rail in an acceptably safe manner (See Table 2-4).

Similar to past survey efforts and the high school survey, about 10 to 15 percent of survey respondents are uncertain about the repository and either provided a “don’t know” or “no answer” response to survey questions.

Student Survey Results

When asked whether Mineral County survey respondents would vote for or against a repository at Yucca Mountain, the majority (60 percent) said they would oppose the repository (See Table 2-13). The percentage of those opposing the repository in Mineral County is somewhat lower than recent statewide survey results that indicate that about 76.2 percent of respondents would vote against the repository.

For the most part, student survey respondents were more supportive of negotiations with DOE for benefits. About half of the survey respondents believed that the State should stop opposition to the repository and make an agreement for benefits (Table 2-19). Approximately 44 percent of the survey respondents indicated that challenging the technical merits of the repository while simultaneously negotiating for mitigation and benefits was a far better approach than opposition to the repository at all costs. Again, 77 percent of those who directly answered the question and did not respond with “Don’t Know” or “No answer” favored a mitigation and benefits agreement approach instead of an opposition at all costs (Table 2-20).

2.0 SURVEY RESULTS

2.1 Business Survey Results

Section 2.0 contains the survey results for Mineral County. A complete survey can be found in Appendix A. Survey respondents were first asked whether or not they were familiar with the Yucca Mountain Project. Nearly 90 percent indicated that they were familiar with the project. Frequency tabulations are shown for each survey question beginning with question 1.

Question 1 Suppose the Department of Energy selected the Yucca Mountain site for the nation's first high-level radioactive waste repository, but it would not be located there unless state residents voted in favor of it. If this were the case would you vote for it or against it?

Table 2-1		Business Owners	
Response	Freq.	Percent	
For	25	42.37	
Against	26	44.07	
Wouldn't Vote	0	0.00	
No Answer	6	10.17	
Don't Know	2	3.39	

Question 2. You have said you would vote "against" the Yucca Mountain Project. Would you say you are somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the repository?

Table 2-2		Business Owners	
Response	Freq.	Percent	
Somewhat Opposed	6	20.69	
Strongly Opposed	18	62.07	
Refused	5	17.24	
Don't Know	0	0.00	
No Answer	0	0.00	

Question 3. Do you think nuclear waste could be transported by truck in a way that would be acceptably safe?

Table 2-3		Business Owners	
Response	Frequency	Percent	
Yes	24	40.7	
No	25	42.4	
Refused	10	17.0	
Don't Know	0	0.0	
No Answer	0	0.0	

Question 4. Do you think nuclear waste could be transported by rail in a way that would be acceptably safe?

Table 2-4		Business Owners	
Response	Frequency	Percent	
Yes	31	52.54	
No	20	33.90	
Refused	0	0.00	
Don't Know	8	13.56	

Question 5. Please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statement: The repository at Yucca Mountain is inevitable. It will be built whether the State of Nevada opposes it or not. Would you say that you strongly

disagree, somewhat disagree, neither agree nor disagree, agree, or strongly agree?

Table 2-5 Business Owners		
Response	Frequency	Percent
Strongly Disagree	11	18.64
Somewhat Disagree	6	10.17
Neither	2	3.39
Agree	17	28.81
Strongly Agree	16	27.12
Refused	0	0.00
Don't Know	8	10.17
No Answer	1	1.69

Question 6 Some people think Nevada should stop opposition to the repository and instead try to make an agreement with the federal government for benefits. Other people believe Yucca Mountain is a poor choice, and that state resistance should not be weakened or compromised by entering into an agreement for benefits. Do you believe the state should stop its opposition and make an agreement, or do you think the state should continue to do all it can to oppose the repository, even if that means turning down benefits that may be offered by the federal government.

Table 2-6 Business Owners		
Response	Frequency	Percent
Stop Opposition/ Make Agreement	29	49.15
Continue Opposition	19	32.20
Refused	0	0.0
Don't Know	7	11.86
No Answer	4	6.78

Eliminating the "Don't Know" and the "No Answer" responses and considering only those who answered directly yields a full 60 percent of business survey respondents who believe the State should stop opposition and make an agreement with DOE.

Question 7 Do you believe the construction and operation of a nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain will affect your current business operations in Mineral County?

Table 2-7 Business Owners		
Response	Frequency	Percent
Yes, adverse impact	6	10.17
Yes, beneficial impact	15	25.42
No affect	24	40.68
Refused	0	0.0
Don't know	13	22.03
No Answer	1	1.69

Question 8. Do you believe that transportation of high-level nuclear waste and spent nuclear fuel through Nevada will affect your current business operations in Mineral County?

Table 2-8 Business Owners		
Response	Frequency	Percent
Yes, adverse impact	7	11.86
Yes, beneficial impact	15	25.42
No affect	23	38.98
Refused	1	1.69
Don't Know	12	20.34
No Answer	1	1.69

Of those who directly answered the question and did not respond with “Don’t Know”, “No Answer”, or “Refused”, a full 84 percent of business survey respondent indicated that transportation of waste through Mineral County will have no affect or a beneficial affect.

Question 9. Do you believe that transportation of high-level nuclear waste and spent nuclear fuel through Mineral County by rail will affect your current business operations in Mineral County?

Table 2-9 Business Owners		
Response	Frequency	Percent
Yes, adverse impact	7	11.86
Yes, beneficial impact	10	16.95
No affect	22	37.29
Don't know	1	1.69
No Answer	16	27.12
Don't Know	3	5.08

Question 10. Do you believe that transportation of high-level nuclear waste and spent nuclear fuel through your community by rail or truck and within 1 mile of your location will affect your current business operations in Mineral County?

Table 2-10 Business Owners		
Response	Frequency	Percent
Yes, adverse impact	9	15.25
Yes, beneficial impact	12	20.34
No affect	19	32.20
Refused	0	0.00
Don't Know	15	25.42
No answer	4	6.78

Question 11: Age of Business Survey Respondents

Table 2-11		Business Owners	
Response	Frequency	Percent	
19-25 years old	2	3.39	
25-35	4	6.78	
35-65	42	71.19	
65+	5	8.47	
No Response	6	10.17	
No answer	0	0.00	

Question 12. Type of Business

Table 2-12		Business Owners	
Response	Frequency	Percent	
Agriculture	0	0.00	
Mining	0	0.00	
Wholesale/Retail Trade	11	18.64	
Trucking/Transportation	2	3.39	
Services	19	32.2	
Tourism/Gaming	6	10.17	
Finance/Ins. Real Estate	2	3.39	
Manufacturing	1	1.69	
Other	18	30.51	

2.2 Student Survey Results

Question Suppose the Department of Energy selected the Yucca Mountain site for the nation's first high-level radioactive waste repository, but it would not be located there unless you voted in favor of it. If this were the case would you vote for it or against it?

Table 2-13		Student Survey	
Response	Freq.	Percent	
For	47	29.75	
Against	72	45.57	
Wouldn't Vote	6	3.80	
No Answer	24	15.19	
Don't Know	9	5.70	

Question 2. You have said you would vote "against" the Yucca Mountain Project. Would you say you are somewhat oppose or strongly oppose the repository?

Table 2-14		Student Survey	
Response	Freq.	Percent	
Somewhat Opposed	40	37.38	
Strongly Opposed	36	33.64	
Refused	5	4.67	
Don't Know	18	16.82	
No Answer	8	7.48	

Question 3. If the repository were eventually built, I believe that overall the benefits would outweigh the harms. Do you strongly disagree, somewhat disagree, neither agree or disagree, agree, or strongly agree with this statement?

Table 2-15		Student Survey	
Response	Frequency	Percent	
Strongly Disagree	27	17.88	
Somewhat Disagree	24	15.89	
Neither	15	9.93	
Agree	27	17.88	
Strongly Agree	10	6.62	
Refused	3	1.99	
Don't Know	31	20.53	
No Answer	14	9.27	

Question 4. Do you think nuclear waste could be transported by truck in a way that would be acceptably safe?

Table 2-16		Student Survey	
Response	Frequency	Percent	
Yes	35	22.15	
No	91	57.59	
Refused	5	3.16	
Don't Know	20	12.66	
No Answer	7	4.43	

Question 5. Do you think nuclear waste could be transported by rail in a way that would be acceptably safe?

Table 2-17		Student Survey	
Response	Frequency	Percent	
Yes	55	34.81	
No	66	41.77	
Refused	4	2.53	
Don't Know	26	16.46	
No Answer	7	4.43	

Question 6 Please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statement: The repository at Yucca Mountain is inevitable. It will be built whether the State of Nevada opposes it or not. Would you say that you strongly disagree, somewhat disagree, neither agree nor disagree, agree, or strongly agree?

Table 2-18		Student Survey	
Response	Frequency	Percent	
Strongly Disagree	39	24.68	
Somewhat Disagree	23	14.56	
Neither	11	6.96	
Agree	32	20.25	
Strongly Agree	13	8.23	
Refused	4	2.53	
Don't Know	20	12.66	
No Answer	16	10.13	

Question 7 Some people think Nevada should stop opposition to the repository and instead try to make an agreement with the federal government for benefits. Other people believe Yucca Mountain is a poor choice, and that state resistance should not be weakened or compromised by entering into an agreement for benefits. Do you believe the state should stop its opposition and make an agreement, or do you think the state should continue to do all it can to oppose the repository, even if that means turning down benefits that may be offered by the federal government?

Table 2-19		Student Survey	
Response	Frequency	Percent	
Stop Opposition/ Make Agreement	46	29.11	
Continue Opposition	44	27.85	
Refused	7	4.43	
Don't Know	45	28.48	
No Answer	16	10.13	

Eliminating the "Don't Know" and the "No Answer" responses and considering only those who answered directly yields a full 56 percent of respondents who believe the State should stop opposition and make an agreement with DOE.

Question 8 Alternatively, do you think it is possible for the State of Nevada and local governments to continue challenging the suitability of Yucca Mountain while simultaneously developing a mitigation and benefits package for Nevada?

Table 2-20		Student Survey	
Response	Frequency	Percent	
Yes	69	43.95	
No	21	13.38	
Refused	7	4.46	
Don't Know	50	31.85	
No Answer	10	6.37	

Again, 77 percent of those who directly answered the question and did not respond with “Don’t Know” or “No answer” favored a mitigation and benefits agreement approach instead of an opposition at all costs.

Question 9 Age of Respondents

Response	Frequency	Percent
14 years old	9	6.00
15	34	22.67
16	43	28.67
17	38	25.33
18	24	16.00
19	2	1.33

Question 10 Student Length of Residence

About half of all Mineral County students were born and raised in the area. The others have lived in Mineral County over varying lengths of residency.

3.0 COMPARISON OF SURVEY RESULTS

Section 3.0 compares the results from the 2004 Mineral County survey against the results of the State of Nevada survey conducted in the Summer of 2002, and the 2003 Mineral County survey. The Mineral County survey utilized several questions from the State survey for comparison purposes.

Question: Suppose the Department of Energy selected the Yucca Mountain site for the nation’s first high-level radioactive waste repository, but it would not be located there unless state residents voted in favor of it. If this were the case would you vote for it or against it?

Table 3-1	Mineral County Business Survey 2004	Mineral County High School Survey 2004	Mineral County Community Survey 2003	State Survey 2002
Response	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
For	42.4	42.75	29.8	20.30
Against	45.6	45.57	63.1	76.24
Refused	0.0	3.8	0.4	
No Answer	10.17	15.2	6.4	3.22
Don't Know	3.39	5.7	0.4	0.25

Question: The federal government and the State of Nevada have identified several risks presented by the Yucca Mountain repository program. Economic damage to the major industries such as gaming and conventions because people avoid Nevada as the “Nuclear Dump State”. Do you think this item is little or no risk, slight risk, moderate risk, or high risk ?

Table 3-2	Mineral County Community Survey 2003	State Survey 2002
Response	Percent	Percent
Little or no Risk	32.6	25.7
Slight Risk	18.4	9.4
Moderate Risk	18.4	22.0
High Risk	28.0	41.3
Refused	0.4	0.1
Don't Know	2.1	1.5
No Answer	0.0	0.0

In comparison to the results in Table 3-2, Mineral County businesses saw little or no economic risk from transportation related activities and the development of a repository (See Tables 2-7, 2-8, and 2-9). The vast majority of business owners in Hawthorne indicated that there would be no impact or a beneficial impact from repository development and related transportation activities affecting Mineral County.

Question Some people think Nevada should stop opposition to the repository and instead try to make an agreement with the federal government for benefits. Other people believe Yucca Mountain is a poor choice, and that state resistance should not be weakened or compromised by entering into an agreement for benefits. Do you believe the state should stop its opposition and make an agreement, or do you think the state should continue to do all it can to oppose the repository, even if that means turning down benefits that may be offered by the federal government?

Table 3-3	Mineral County Business Survey 2004	Mineral County High School Survey 2004	Mineral County Community Survey 2003	State Survey 2002
Response	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Stop Opposition/ Make Agreement	49.2	29.1	56.0	30.9
Continue Opposition	32.2	27.9	34.8	65.1
Refused	0	4.4	0.4	0.3
Don't Know	11.9	28.5	5.0	3.7
No Answer	6.8	10.1	3.5	0.0

Eliminating the “don’t know” and “no answer” responses from Mineral County shows even stronger support for negotiation for benefits. Mineral County students were evenly split while the vast majority of Mineral County businesses favored negotiation for benefits.

Table 3-4 shows the results of various survey responses to questions concerning whether or not waste can be transported in an acceptably safe manner.

Response	Amargosa Valley	Beatty	Pahrump	Indian Springs	City of Caliente	Churchill Co.
Yes	86.0%	87.5%	63.3%	73.9%	54.4%	25.2%
No	14.0	12.5	36.7	26.1	45.6	56.4
Don't Know	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.6

A solid majority of survey respondents from communities directly surrounding the Yucca Mountain site thought waste could be transported in a manner that is acceptably safe. In comparison Mineral County respondents were asked the same question but in two parts focusing on trucks and rail shipments. Approximately 41 percent of Mineral County businesses thought nuclear waste could be transported by truck in an acceptably safe manner whereas 52.5 percent thought waste could be transported by rail in an acceptably safe manner. Eliminating those respondents who don't know or gave no answer, about 60 percent of businesses felt waste could be transported by rail in an acceptably safe manner.

Appendix A:

High School Student Survey

Mineral County is conducting this survey as part of its oversight responsibilities of the Yucca Mountain project. Funding for the survey is provided by a grant from the U.S. Department of Energy. Mineral County High School students have volunteered to conduct this survey.

Are you familiar with the Yucca Mountain Project and the federal government's efforts to store high-level nuclear waste in Nevada?

Yes_____

No_____

Question 1:

The federal Department of Energy (DOE) wants to build the nation's first high-level radioactive waste repository at Yucca Mountain in southern Nevada. If given the opportunity to vote on this matter, would you vote for or against locating a nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain?

- 1 Vote For
- 2 Vote Against
- 7 REFUSED
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 NO ANSWER

If you would vote for the repository skip to question 3, otherwise answer question 2.

Question 2:

You have said that you would vote "against" the Yucca Mountain repository project. Are you somewhat opposed or strongly opposed to the repository?

- 1 somewhat oppose
- 2 strongly opposed
- 7 REFUSED
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 NO ANSWER

Question 3:

If the repository were eventually built, Do you believe that overall the benefits would outweigh the harms? Do you strongly disagree, disagree, neither agree or disagree, agree, or strongly agree with this statement.

- 1 strongly disagree
- 2 Somewhat disagree
- 3 Neither
- 4 Agree
- 5 Strongly Agree
- 7 Refused
- 8 Don't Know
- 9 No Answer

Question 4:

Do you think nuclear waste can be transported by truck in a way that would be acceptably safe?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 7 Refused
- 8 Don't Know
- 9 No Answer

Question 5:

Do you think nuclear waste could be transported by rail in a way that would be acceptably safe?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 7 Refused
- 8 Don't Know
- 9 No Answer

Question 6:

Please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statement: The repository at Yucca Mountain is inevitable. It will be built whether the State of Nevada opposes it or not. Do you strongly disagree, somewhat disagree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat agree or strongly agree?

- 1 strongly disagree
- 2 Somewhat disagree
- 3 Neither
- 4 Agree
- 5 Strongly Agree
- 7 Refused
- 8 Don't Know
- 9 No Answer

Question 7:

Some people think Nevada should stop opposition to the repository and instead try to make an agreement with the federal government for benefits. Other people believe Yucca Mountain is a poor choice, and that state resistance should not be weakened or compromised by entering into an agreement for benefits. Do you believe the state should stop its opposition and make an agreement, or do you think the state should continue to do all it can to oppose the repository, even if that means turning down benefits that may be offered by the federal government.

- 1 Stop opposition and make an agreement
- 2 Continue opposition/turn down benefits
- 7 REFUSED
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 NO ANSWER

Question 8:

Alternatively, do you think it is possible for the state and local governments to continue challenging the Yucca Mountain Project while developing a benefits package for Nevada?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 7 REFUSED
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 NO ANSWER

I. Demographic Questions

- 9. Age of Respondent (Survey respondent) _____ Years
- 10. How Long have you lived in Nevada? _____ Years

Business Survey

Mineral County is conducting this survey as part of its oversight responsibilities of the Yucca Mountain project. Funding for the survey is provided by a grant from the U.S. Department of Energy. Mineral County High School students have volunteered to conduct this survey.

Are you familiar with the Yucca Mountain Project and the federal government's efforts to store high-level nuclear waste in Nevada?

Yes _____

No _____

Question 1:

The federal Department of Energy (DOE) wants to build the nation's first high-level radioactive waste repository at Yucca Mountain in southern Nevada. If given the opportunity to vote on this matter, would you vote for or against locating a nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain?

1 Vote For

2 Vote Against

7 REFUSED

8 DON'T KNOW

9 NO ANSWER

If you would vote for the repository skip to question 3, otherwise answer question 2.

Question 2:

You have said that you would vote "against" the Yucca Mountain repository project. Are you somewhat opposed or strongly opposed to the repository?

1 somewhat oppose

2 strongly opposed

7 REFUSED

8 DON'T KNOW

9 NO ANSWER

Question 3:

Do you think nuclear waste can be transported by truck in a way that would be acceptably safe?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 7 Refused
- 8 Don't Know
- 9 No Answer

Question 4:

Do you think nuclear waste could be transported by rail in a way that would be acceptably safe?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 7 Refused
- 8 Don't Know
- 9 No Answer

Question 5:

Please tell me if you agree or disagree with the following statement: The repository at Yucca Mountain is inevitable. It will be built whether the State of Nevada opposes it or not. Do you strongly disagree, somewhat disagree, neither agree nor disagree, somewhat agree or strongly agree?

- 1 strongly disagree
- 2 Somewhat disagree
- 3 Neither
- 4 Agree
- 5 Strongly Agree
- 7 Refused
- 8 Don't Know
- 9 No Answer

Question 6:

Some people think Nevada should stop opposition to the repository and instead try to make an agreement with the federal government for benefits. Other people believe Yucca Mountain is a poor choice, and that state resistance should not be weakened or compromised by entering into an agreement for benefits. Do you believe the state should stop its opposition and make an agreement, or do you think the state should continue to do all it can to oppose the repository, even if that means turning down benefits that may be offered by the federal government.

- 1 Stop opposition and make an agreement
- 2 Continue opposition/turn down benefits
- 7 REFUSED
- 8 DON'T KNOW
- 9 NO ANSWER

Question 7:

Do you believe the construction and operation of nuclear waste repository at Yucca Mountain will affect your current business operations in Mineral County?

- 1. Yes, adverse affect
- 2. Yes, beneficial affect
- 3. No affect
- 7. Refused
- 8. Don't Know
- 9. No Answer

Question 8:

Do you believe that transportation of high-level nuclear waste and spent nuclear fuel through Nevada will affect your current business operations in Mineral County?

- 1. Yes, adverse impact
- 2. Yes, beneficial affect
- 3. No affect
- 7. Refused
- 8. Don't Know
- 9. No Answer

Question 9:

Do you believe that transportation of high-level nuclear waste and spent nuclear fuel through Mineral County by rail will affect your current business operations in Mineral County?

1. Yes, adverse impact
2. Yes, beneficial affect
3. No affect
7. Refused
8. Don't Know
9. No Answer

Question 10:

Do you believe that transportation of high-level nuclear waste and spent nuclear fuel through your community by rail or truck and within 1 mile one mile of your location will affect your current business operations in Mineral County?

1. Yes, adverse impact
2. Yes, beneficial affect
3. No affect
7. Refused
8. Don't Know
9. No Answer

II. Demographic/Business Questions

11. Age of Survey respondent

1. 19-25 _____years old
2. 25-35 _____
3. 35-65 _____
4. 65+ _____
5. No response_____

12. How Long have you lived in Nevada?

1. Less than 10 years _____
2. 10 to 20 years _____
3. More than 20 years _____
4. No response _____

13. Type of Business

- 1. Agriculture _____
- 2. Mining _____
- 3. Wholesale/Retail Trade _____
- 4. Trucking/Transportation _____
- 5. Services _____
- 6. Tourism/Gaming _____
- 7. Finance/Ins. Real Estate _____
- 8. Manufacturing _____
- 9. Other _____